

## ONE HUNDRED EIGHTH DAY

St. Paul, Minnesota, Thursday, May 12, 2022

The Senate met at 12:00 noon and was called to order by the President.

**CALL OF THE SENATE**

Senator Murphy imposed a call of the Senate. The Sergeant at Arms was instructed to bring in the absent members.

Prayer was offered by the Chaplain, Rev. Sara E. Morse.

The members of the Senate gave the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was called, and the following Senators were present:

Abeler	Draheim	Isaacson	Mathews	Rosen
Anderson	Duckworth	Jasinski	McEwen	Ruud
Bakk	Dziedzic	Johnson	Miller	Senjem
Benson	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	Murphy	Tomassoni
Bigham	Eichorn	Kent	Nelson	Torres Ray
Carlson	Eken	Kiffmeyer	Newman	Utke
Chamberlain	Fateh	Klein	Newton	Weber
Champion	Frentz	Koran	Osmek	Westrom
Clausen	Goggin	Kunesh	Pappas	Wiger
Coleman	Hawj	Lang	Port	Wiklund
Cwodzinski	Hoffman	Latz	Pratt	
Dahms	Housley	Limmer	Putnam	
Dibble	Howe	López Franzen	Rarick	
Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	Marty	Rest	

Pursuant to Rule 14.1, the President announced the following members intend to vote under Rule 40.7: Anderson, Coleman, Eaton, Fateh, Goggin, Lang, Marty, Newman, Newton, Tomassoni, and Utke.

The President declared a quorum present.

The reading of the Journal was dispensed with and the Journal, as printed and corrected, was approved.

**CONFERENCE COMMITTEE EXCUSED**

Pursuant to Rule 12.5, Senator Ingebrigtsen moved that the following members be excused for a Conference Committee on S.F. No. 4062 at 1:00 p.m.:

Senators Ingebrigtsen, Tomassoni, Eichorn, Weber, and Eken. The motion prevailed.

**CONFERENCE COMMITTEE EXCUSED**

Pursuant to Rule 12.5, Senator Abeler moved that the following members be excused for a Conference Committee on S.F. No. 4410 at 1:00 p.m.:

Senators Abeler, Utke, Koran, Benson, and Hoffman. The motion prevailed.

**CONFERENCE COMMITTEE EXCUSED**

Pursuant to Rule 12.5, Senator Kiffmeyer moved that the following members be excused for a Conference Committee on H.F. No. 4293 at 1:00 p.m.:

Senators Kiffmeyer, Newman, Howe, Jasinski, and Dibble. The motion prevailed.

**CONFERENCE COMMITTEE EXCUSED**

Pursuant to Rule 12.5, Senator Westrom moved that the following members be excused for a Conference Committee on H.F. No. 4366 at 1:00 p.m.:

Senators Westrom, Draheim, Dornink, Pratt, and Dziedzic. The motion prevailed.

**EXECUTIVE AND OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS**

The following communication was received.

May 12, 2022

The Honorable Melissa Hortman  
Speaker of the House of Representatives

The Honorable David J. Osmek  
President of the Senate

I have the honor to inform you that the following enrolled Act of the 2022 Session of the State Legislature has been received from the Office of the Governor and is deposited in the Office of the Secretary of State for preservation, pursuant to the State Constitution, Article IV, Section 23:

S.F. No.	H.F. No.	Session Laws Chapter No.	Time and Date Approved 2022	Date Filed 2022
	4406	55	9:47 a.m. May 12	May 12

Sincerely,  
Steve Simon  
Secretary of State

**MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE**

Mr. President:

I have the honor to announce the passage by the House of the following Senate File, AS AMENDED by the House, in which amendments the concurrence of the Senate is respectfully requested:

**S.F. No. 3008:** A bill for an act relating to liquor; prohibiting exclusive contracts for distillers; amending Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 340A.307, subdivisions 1, 2, 4.

Senate File No. 3008 is herewith returned to the Senate.

Patrick D. Murphy, Chief Clerk, House of Representatives

Returned May 11, 2022

Senator Dahms moved that the Senate do not concur in the amendments by the House to S.F. No. 3008, and that a Conference Committee of 5 members be appointed by the Subcommittee on Conference Committees on the part of the Senate, to act with a like Conference Committee appointed on the part of the House. The motion prevailed.

Mr. President:

I have the honor to announce the passage by the House of the following House File, herewith transmitted: H.F. No. 2725.

Patrick D. Murphy, Chief Clerk, House of Representatives

Transmitted May 11, 2022

**FIRST READING OF HOUSE BILLS**

The following bill was read the first time.

**H.F. No. 2725:** A bill for an act relating to judiciary; establishing a statutory procedure to assess the competency of a defendant to stand trial; providing for contested hearings; establishing continuing supervision for certain defendants found incompetent to stand trial; establishing requirements to restore certain defendants to competency; providing for administration of medication; establishing forensic navigators; requiring forensic navigators to provide services to certain defendants; establishing dismissal plans for certain defendants found incompetent to stand trial; providing for jail-based competency restoration programs; establishing the State Competency Restoration Board and certification advisory committee; requiring a report; appropriating money; amending Minnesota Statutes 2020, sections 253B.07, subdivision 2a; 480.182; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 611.

Senator Miller, Chair of the Committee on Rules and Administration, moved that H.F. No. 2725 be laid on the table. The motion prevailed.

**INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING OF SENATE BILLS**

The following bills were read the first time.

**Senator Newman introduced--**

**S.F. No. 4592:** A bill for an act relating to civil liability; prohibiting immunity for government employee torts; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 3.

Referred to the Committee on State Government Finance and Policy and Elections.

**Senator Johnson introduced--**

**S.F. No. 4593:** A bill for an act relating to legislative enactments; correcting miscellaneous oversights, inconsistencies, ambiguities, unintended results, and technical errors; amending Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 179A.20, subdivision 4.

Referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

**MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS****Senator Johnson introduced --**

**Senate Resolution No. 139:** A Senate resolution commending Patrol Inspector Robert H. Lobdell.

Referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

**Senator Johnson introduced --**

**Senate Resolution No. 140:** A Senate resolution commending Roseau County Deputy Sheriff Richard K. Magnuson.

Referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

**CALL OF THE SENATE**

Senator López Franzen imposed a call of the Senate for the balance of the proceedings of today's session. The Sergeant at Arms was instructed to bring in the absent members.

Senator McEwen moved that S.F. No. 731 be withdrawn from the Committee on Health and Human Services Finance and Policy, given a second reading, and placed on General Orders.

The question was taken on the adoption of the motion.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 31 and nays 34, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	McEwen	Torres Ray
Carlson	Eken	Kent	Murphy	Wiger
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Newton	Wiklund
Clausen	Frentz	Kunesh	Pappas	
Cwodzinski	Hawj	Latz	Port	
Dibble	Hoffman	López Franzen	Putnam	
Dziedzic	Isaacson	Marty	Rest	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Eaton, Fateh, and Newton.

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	Mathews	Rosen
Anderson	Draheim	Jasinski	Miller	Ruud
Bakk	Duckworth	Johnson	Nelson	Senjem
Benson	Eichorn	Kiffmeyer	Newman	Utke
Chamberlain	Goggin	Koran	Osmek	Weber
Coleman	Housley	Lang	Pratt	Westrom
Dahms	Howe	Limmer	Rarick	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Jasinski cast the negative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Anderson, Coleman, Goggin, Lang, and Utke.

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Port moved that S.F. No. 963 be withdrawn from the Committee on Health and Human Services Finance and Policy, given a second reading, and placed on General Orders.

The question was taken on the adoption of the motion.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 31 and nays 34, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	McEwen	Torres Ray
Carlson	Eken	Kent	Murphy	Wiger
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Newton	Wiklund
Clausen	Frentz	Kunesh	Pappas	
Cwodzinski	Hawj	Latz	Port	
Dibble	Hoffman	López Franzen	Putnam	
Dziedzic	Isaacson	Marty	Rest	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Eaton, Fateh, and Newton.

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	Mathews	Rosen
Anderson	Draheim	Jasinski	Miller	Ruud
Bakk	Duckworth	Johnson	Nelson	Senjem
Benson	Eichorn	Kiffmeyer	Newman	Utke
Chamberlain	Goggin	Koran	Osmek	Weber
Coleman	Housley	Lang	Pratt	Westrom
Dahms	Howe	Limmer	Rarick	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Jasinski cast the negative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Anderson, Coleman, Goggin, Lang, and Utke.

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Kent moved that S.F. No. 1205 be withdrawn from the Committee on Labor and Industry Policy, given a second reading, and placed on General Orders.

The question was taken on the adoption of the motion.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 31 and nays 35, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	McEwen	Torres Ray
Carlson	Eken	Kent	Murphy	Wiger
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Newton	Wiklund
Clausen	Frentz	Kunesh	Pappas	
Cwodzinski	Hawj	Latz	Port	
Dibble	Hoffman	López Franzen	Putnam	
Dziedzic	Isaacson	Marty	Rest	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Eaton, Fateh, and Newton.

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	Mathews	Rosen
Anderson	Draheim	Jasinski	Miller	Ruud
Bakk	Duckworth	Johnson	Nelson	Senjem
Benson	Eichorn	Kiffmeyer	Newman	Tomassoni
Chamberlain	Goggin	Koran	Osmek	Utke
Coleman	Housley	Lang	Pratt	Weber
Dahms	Howe	Limmer	Rarick	Westrom

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Jasinski cast the negative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Anderson, Coleman, Goggin, Lang, Tomassoni, and Utke.

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Champion moved that S.F. No. 3959 be withdrawn from the Committee on Health and Human Services Finance and Policy, given a second reading, and placed on General Orders.

The question was taken on the adoption of the motion.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 33 and nays 33, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dziedzic	Isaacson	López Franzen	Putnam
Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	Marty	Rest
Carlson	Eken	Kent	McEwen	Torres Ray
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Murphy	Wiger
Clausen	Frentz	Kunesh	Newton	Wiklund
Cwodzinski	Hawj	Latz	Pappas	
Dibble	Hoffman	Limmer	Port	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Eaton, Fateh, and Newton.

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson	Draheim	Jasinski	Nelson	Senjem
Bakk	Duckworth	Johnson	Newman	Tomassoni
Benson	Eichorn	Kiffmeyer	Osmek	Utke
Chamberlain	Goggin	Koran	Pratt	Weber
Coleman	Housley	Lang	Rarick	Westrom
Dahms	Howe	Mathews	Rosen	
Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	Miller	Ruud	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Eichorn cast the negative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Anderson, Coleman, Goggin, Howe, Ingebrigtsen, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Newman, Pratt, Tomassoni, Utke, and Westrom.

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Torres Ray moved that S.F. No. 3026 be withdrawn from the Committee on Health and Human Services Finance and Policy, given a second reading, and placed on General Orders.

The question was taken on the adoption of the motion.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 33 and nays 33, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dziedzic	Isaacson	López Franzen	Putnam
Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	Marty	Rest
Carlson	Eken	Kent	McEwen	Torres Ray
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Murphy	Wiger
Clausen	Frentz	Kunesh	Newton	Wiklund
Cwodzinski	Hawj	Latz	Pappas	
Dibble	Hoffman	Limmer	Port	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Dziedzic, Eaton, Fateh, and Newton.

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson	Draheim	Jasinski	Nelson	Senjem
Bakk	Duckworth	Johnson	Newman	Tomassoni
Benson	Eichorn	Kiffmeyer	Osmek	Utke
Chamberlain	Goggin	Koran	Pratt	Weber
Coleman	Housley	Lang	Rarick	Westrom
Dahms	Howe	Mathews	Rosen	
Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	Miller	Ruud	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Eichorn cast the negative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Anderson, Coleman, Dornink, Goggin, Howe, Ingebrigtsen, Jasinski, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Nelson, Newman, Pratt, Tomassoni, Utke, and Westrom.

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Murphy moved that S.F. No. 1884 be withdrawn from the Committee on Health and Human Services Finance and Policy, given a second reading, and placed on General Orders.

The question was taken on the adoption of the motion.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 33 and nays 33, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dziedzic	Isaacson	López Franzen	Putnam
Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	Marty	Rest
Carlson	Eken	Kent	McEwen	Torres Ray
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Murphy	Wiger
Clausen	Frentz	Kunesh	Newton	Wiklund
Cwodzinski	Hawj	Latz	Pappas	
Dibble	Hoffman	Limmer	Port	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Eaton, Eken, Fateh, and Newton.

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson	Draheim	Jasinski	Nelson	Senjem
Bakk	Duckworth	Johnson	Newman	Tomassoni
Benson	Eichorn	Kiffmeyer	Osmek	Utke
Chamberlain	Goggin	Koran	Pratt	Weber
Coleman	Housley	Lang	Rarick	Westrom
Dahms	Howe	Mathews	Rosen	
Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	Miller	Ruud	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Eichorn cast the negative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Anderson, Coleman, Dornink, Goggin, Ingebrigtsen, Jasinski, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Nelson, Newman, Pratt, Tomassoni, Utke, and Westrom.

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Dziedzic moved that S.F. No. 3780 be withdrawn from the Committee on Civil Law and Data Practices Policy, given a second reading, and placed on General Orders.

The question was taken on the adoption of the motion.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 32 and nays 32, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dziedzic	Isaacson	López Franzen	Putnam
Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	Marty	Rest
Carlson	Eken	Kent	McEwen	Wiger
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Murphy	Wiklund
Clausen	Frentz	Kunesh	Newton	
Cwodzinski	Hawj	Latz	Pappas	
Dibble	Hoffman	Limmer	Port	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Eaton, Eken, Fateh, and Newton.

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson	Dornink	Howe	Lang	Rarick
Bakk	Draheim	Ingebrigtsen	Mathews	Rosen
Benson	Duckworth	Jasinski	Miller	Ruud
Chamberlain	Eichorn	Johnson	Nelson	Senjem
Coleman	Goggin	Kiffmeyer	Newman	Tomassoni
Dahms	Housley	Koran	Osmek	Utke



Weber

Westrom

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Eichorn cast the negative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Anderson, Coleman, Dornink, Goggin, Ingebrigtsen, Jasinski, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Nelson, Newman, Tomassoni, Utke, and Westrom.

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Marty moved that S.F. No. 644 be withdrawn from the Committee on Health and Human Services Finance and Policy, given a second reading, and placed on General Orders.

The question was taken on the adoption of the motion.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 30 and nays 35, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Bigham	Dziedzic	Hoffman	Latz	Port
Carlson	Eaton	Isaacson	López Franzen	Putnam
Champion	Eken	Johnson Stewart	Marty	Rest
Clausen	Fateh	Kent	McEwen	Torres Ray
Cwodzinski	Frentz	Klein	Murphy	Wiger
Dibble	Hawj	Kunesh	Pappas	Wiklund

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Dziedzic, Eaton, Eken, and Fateh.

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	Mathews	Rosen
Anderson	Draheim	Jasinski	Miller	Ruud
Bakk	Duckworth	Johnson	Nelson	Senjem
Benson	Eichorn	Kiffmeyer	Newman	Tomassoni
Chamberlain	Goggin	Koran	Osmek	Utke
Coleman	Housley	Lang	Pratt	Weber
Dahms	Howe	Limmer	Rarick	Westrom

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Eichorn cast the negative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Anderson, Coleman, Dornink, Duckworth, Goggin, Housley, Ingebrigtsen, Johnson, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Miller, Nelson, Newman, Pratt, Rosen, Tomassoni, Utke, Weber, and Westrom.

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Wiklund moved that S.F. No. 3766 be withdrawn from the Committee on Health and Human Services Finance and Policy, given a second reading, and placed on General Orders.

The question was taken on the adoption of the motion.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 31 and nays 35, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Bigham	Dibble	Frentz	Kent	Marty
Carlson	Dziedzic	Hawj	Klein	McEwen
Champion	Eaton	Hoffman	Kunesh	Murphy
Clausen	Eken	Isaacson	Latz	Newton
Cwodzinski	Fateh	Johnson Stewart	López Franzen	Pappas

Port  
Putnam

Rest  
Torres Ray

Wiger  
Wiklund

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Dziedzic, Eaton, Eken, Fateh, Frentz, Hoffman, and Newton.

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler  
Anderson  
Bakk  
Benson  
Chamberlain  
Coleman  
Dahms

Dornink  
Draheim  
Duckworth  
Eichorn  
Goggin  
Housley  
Howe

Ingebrigtsen  
Jasinski  
Johnson  
Kiffmeyer  
Koran  
Lang  
Limmer

Mathews  
Miller  
Nelson  
Newman  
Osmeck  
Pratt  
Rarick

Rosen  
Ruud  
Senjem  
Tomassoni  
Utke  
Weber  
Westrom

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Eichorn cast the negative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Abeler, Anderson, Coleman, Dornink, Duckworth, Goggin, Housley, Howe, Ingebrigtsen, Jasinski, Johnson, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Miller, Nelson, Newman, Pratt, Rarick, Rosen, Senjem, Tomassoni, Utke, Weber, and Westrom.

The motion did not prevail.

### RECESS

Senator Miller moved that the Senate do now recess subject to the call of the President. The motion prevailed.

After a brief recess, the President called the Senate to order.

### APPOINTMENTS

Senator Miller from the Subcommittee on Conference Committees recommends that the following Senators be and they hereby are appointed as a Conference Committee on:

S.F. No. 3008: Senators Dahms, Housley, Jasinski, Utke, and Kent.

Senator Miller moved that the foregoing appointments be approved. The motion prevailed.

### CONFERENCE COMMITTEE EXCUSED

Pursuant to Rule 12.5, Senator Pratt moved that the following members be excused for a Conference Committee on S.F. No. 4091 at 2:00 p.m.:

Senators Pratt, Rarick, Dahms, Senjem, and Frentz. The motion prevailed.

### SPECIAL ORDERS

Pursuant to Rule 26, Senator Miller, Chair of the Committee on Rules and Administration, designated the following bills a Special Orders Calendar to be heard immediately:

H.F. No. 3989, S.F. Nos. 3257, 3288, H.F. Nos. 2353, 2945, and 3834.

**SPECIAL ORDER**

**H.F. No. 3989:** A bill for an act relating to health; adding physician assistants to certain statutes; modifying references to advanced practice registered nurses; amending Minnesota Statutes 2020, sections 13.83, subdivision 2; 62A.15, subdivision 4, by adding a subdivision; 62A.3091, subdivision 2; 62D.09, subdivision 1; 62E.06, subdivision 1; 62J.17, subdivision 4a; 62J.48; 62J.823, subdivision 3; 62Q.184, subdivision 1; 62Q.57, subdivision 1; 62Q.73, subdivision 7; 62Q.733, subdivision 3; 62Q.74, subdivision 1; 62S.02, subdivision 5; 62S.08, subdivision 3; 62S.20, subdivision 5b; 62S.21, subdivision 2; 62S.268, subdivision 1; 97B.055, subdivision 3; 97B.106, subdivision 1; 97B.1115; 125A.02, subdivision 1; 144.3345, subdivision 1; 144.3352; 144.34; 144.441, subdivisions 4, 5; 144.442, subdivision 1; 144.4803, subdivisions 1, 4, 10, by adding a subdivision; 144.4806; 144.4807, subdivisions 1, 2, 4, 7; 144.50, subdivision 2; 144.55, subdivisions 2, 6; 144.6501, subdivision 7; 144.651, subdivisions 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 31, 33; 144.652, subdivision 2; 144.69; 144.7402, subdivision 2; 144.7406, subdivision 2; 144.7407, subdivision 2; 144.7414, subdivision 2; 144.7415, subdivision 2; 144.9502, subdivision 4; 144.966, subdivisions 3, 6; 144A.135; 144A.161, subdivisions 5, 5a, 5e, 5g; 144A.471, subdivision 7; 144A.4791, subdivision 13; 144A.75, subdivisions 3, 6; 144A.752, subdivision 1; 144G.08, by adding a subdivision; 144G.70, subdivision 7; 145.853, subdivision 5; 145.892, subdivision 3; 145.94, subdivision 2; 145B.13; 145C.02; 145C.05, subdivision 2; 145C.06; 145C.07, subdivision 1; 145C.16; 147A.27, subdivision 1; 148.6438, subdivision 1; 151.01, subdivision 27; 151.19, subdivision 4; 151.21, subdivision 4a; 151.37, subdivision 12; 152.22, subdivision 4; 152.32, subdivision 3; 176.011, subdivision 12a; 245.50, subdivision 5; 245A.143, subdivisions 2, 7, 8; 245A.1435; 245C.02, subdivision 18; 245C.04, subdivision 1; 245D.02, subdivision 11; 245D.22, subdivision 7; 245D.25, subdivision 2; 245F.02, subdivision 13; 245F.09, subdivision 2; 245G.08, subdivisions 2, 3, 5; 245G.21, subdivisions 2, 3; 245H.11; 246.711, subdivision 2; 246.715, subdivision 2; 246.716, subdivision 2; 246.721; 246.722; 251.043, subdivision 1; 253B.02, subdivision 9; 253B.03, subdivisions 4, 6d; 253B.06, subdivision 2; 253B.23, subdivision 4; 254A.08, subdivision 2; 256.9685, subdivisions 1a, 1b, 1c; 256.975, subdivisions 7a, 7b, 11; 256B.055, subdivision 12; 256B.0575, subdivision 1; 256B.0595, subdivision 3; 256B.0622, subdivision 2b; 256B.0625, subdivisions 2, 12, 26, 60a; 256B.0659, subdivisions 2, 4, 8, 27; 256B.0913, subdivision 8; 256B.0949, subdivision 5; 256B.73, subdivision 5; 256R.44; 256R.54, subdivisions 1, 2; 257.63, subdivision 3; 257B.01, subdivisions 3, 9, 10; 257B.06, subdivision 7; 259.24, subdivision 2; 260C.007, subdivision 6; 383A.13, subdivisions 3, 6; 609.341, subdivision 17; Minnesota Statutes 2021 Supplement, sections 62J.23, subdivision 2; 144G.08, subdivision 9; 147.091, subdivision 1; 151.37, subdivision 2; 252A.02, subdivision 12; 252A.04, subdivision 2; 252A.20, subdivision 1; 256B.0625, subdivisions 17, 28a, 49; 256B.0659, subdivision 11; 256B.0947, subdivision 3a; 256B.0949, subdivisions 4, 5a; 256P.01, subdivision 6a; repealing Minnesota Statutes 2020, sections 147A.01, subdivision 23; 151.37, subdivision 2a.

H.F. No. 3989 was read the third time and placed on its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 63 and nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Bigham	Clausen	Dibble	Dziedzic
Anderson	Carlson	Coleman	Dornink	Eaton
Bakk	Chamberlain	Cwodziński	Draheim	Eichorn
Benson	Champion	Dahms	Duckworth	Eken

Fateh	Johnson	López Franzen	Osmek	Tomassoni
Goggin	Johnson Stewart	Marty	Pappas	Torres Ray
Hawj	Kent	Mathews	Port	Utke
Hoffman	Klein	McEwen	Putnam	Weber
Housley	Koran	Miller	Rarick	Westrom
Howe	Kunesh	Murphy	Rest	Wiger
Ingebrigtsen	Lang	Nelson	Rosen	Wiklund
Isaacson	Latz	Newman	Ruud	
Jasinski	Limmer	Newton	Senjem	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Eichorn cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Abeler, Anderson, Benson, Chamberlain, Coleman, Dornink, Duckworth, Goggin, Housley, Howe, Ingebrigtsen, Jasinski, Johnson, Lang, Miller, Newman, Rarick, Rosen, Senjem, Tomassoni, Utke, Weber, and Westrom.

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Dziedzic, Eaton, Eken, Fateh, Hoffman, McEwen, and Newton.

So the bill passed and its title was agreed to.

### SPECIAL ORDER

**S.F. No. 3257:** A bill for an act relating to health; adding a project to the hospital construction moratorium exception; amending Minnesota Statutes 2021 Supplement, section 144.551, subdivision 1.

S.F. No. 3257 was read the third time and placed on its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 65 and nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	López Franzen	Putnam
Anderson	Draheim	Isaacson	Marty	Rarick
Bakk	Duckworth	Jasinski	Mathews	Rest
Benson	Dziedzic	Johnson	McEwen	Rosen
Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	Miller	Ruud
Carlson	Eichorn	Kent	Murphy	Senjem
Chamberlain	Eken	Kiffmeyer	Nelson	Tomassoni
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Newman	Torres Ray
Clausen	Goggin	Koran	Newton	Utke
Coleman	Hawj	Kunesh	Osmek	Weber
Cwodzinski	Hoffman	Lang	Pappas	Westrom
Dahms	Housley	Latz	Port	Wiger
Dibble	Howe	Limmer	Pratt	Wiklund

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Eichorn cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Abeler, Anderson, Benson, Chamberlain, Coleman, Dornink, Duckworth, Goggin, Housley, Howe, Ingebrigtsen, Jasinski, Johnson, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Miller, Newman, Pratt, Rarick, Rosen, Senjem, Tomassoni, Utke, Weber, and Westrom.

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Dziedzic, Eaton, Eken, Fateh, Hoffman, Latz, McEwen, and Newton.

So the bill passed and its title was agreed to.

### SPECIAL ORDER

**S.F. No. 3288:** A bill for an act relating to commerce; modifying a definition applying to licensee education; amending Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 45.25, subdivision 12.

Senator Dahms moved to amend S.F. No. 3288 as follows:

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

"Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 45.25, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:

Subd. 8a. **Live course.** "Live course" means any learning experience that is actively led by an instructor, either online or in a classroom setting, that offers person-to-person, real-time feedback. A live course offered online must:

(1) specify the minimum system requirements;

(2) provide encryption that ensures that all personal information, including the student's name, address, and credit card number, cannot be read as it passes across the Internet;

(3) include technology to guarantee seat time;

(4) include the ability for the student to get technical support within a reasonable amount of time;

(5) include a statement that the student's information will not be sold or distributed to any third party without the prior written consent of the student. Taking the course does not constitute consent; and

(6) include a process to authenticate the student's identity.

Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 45.25, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:

Subd. 9a. **On-demand course.** "On-demand course" means a learning experience that enables a student to review learning material at a time and location that is convenient for the student. On-demand course includes, but is not limited to, asynchronous online courses, text-based courses, and other courses not offered live that include prerecorded videos, class recordings, documents, or other learning activities.

Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 45.25, subdivision 12, is amended to read:

Subd. 12. **Proctor.** (a) "Proctor" means a ~~disinterested third party with no conflict of interest~~ person who (1) verifies a student's identity, and (2) processes an affidavit testifying that the student received no outside assistance with the course or examination.

(b) A proctor must be 18 years of age or older. A proctor must not have a financial or other conflict of interest with respect to a student's successful completion of the course or the examination. A proctor must not be:

- (1) a relative of the student;
- (2) the student's supervisor at work;
- (3) a person the student supervises at work; or
- (4) a student who is completing the same course.

Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 45.25, subdivision 13, is amended to read:

Subd. 13. **Professional designation.** "Professional designation" means ~~a written, proctored, and graded examination, the passage of which leads to a bona fide~~ an industry-recognized professional designation used by licensees a licensee after completing a series of courses and passing a graded, proctored examination.

Sec. 5. [45.301] ON-DEMAND CONTINUING EDUCATION; REQUIREMENTS.

Subdivision 1. On-demand course requirements. An on-demand continuing education course offered online must:

- (1) specify the minimum system requirements;
- (2) provide encryption that ensures that all personal information, including the student's name, address, and credit card number, cannot be read as it passes across the Internet;
- (3) include technology to guarantee seat time;
- (4) include a high level of interactivity;
- (5) include graphics that reinforce the content;
- (6) include the ability for the student to contact an instructor within a reasonable amount of time;
- (7) include the ability for the student to get technical support within a reasonable amount of time;
- (8) include a statement that the student's information will not be sold or distributed to any third party without prior written consent of the student. Taking the course does not constitute consent;
- (9) be available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, excluding minimal down time for updating and administration;
- (10) provide viewing access to the online course at all times to the commissioner, excluding minimal down time for updating and administration;
- (11) include a process to authenticate the student's identity;
- (12) inform the student and the commissioner how long after its purchase a course will be accessible;

(13) inform the student that license education credit will not be awarded for taking the course after it loses its status as an approved course;

(14) provide clear instructions on how to navigate through the course;

(15) provide automatic bookmarking at any point in the course;

(16) provide questions after each unit or chapter that must be answered before the student can proceed to the next unit or chapter;

(17) include a reinforcement response when a quiz question is answered correctly;

(18) include a response when a quiz question is answered incorrectly;

(19) include a final examination;

(20) allow the student to go back and review any unit at any time, except during the final examination;

(21) provide a course evaluation at the end of the course. At a minimum, the evaluation must ask the student to report any difficulties caused by the online education delivery method; and

(22) provide a completion certificate when the course and exam have been completed and the provider has verified the completion. Electronic certificates are sufficient.

Subd. 2. **Final examination.** The final examination must be either an encrypted online examination or a paper examination that is monitored by a proctor who certifies that the student took the examination. The student must not be allowed to review the course content once the examination has begun.

Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 45.31, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

Subd. 2. **Approval.** (a) ~~The commissioner must approve as a coordinator a person meeting one or more of the following criteria: at least three years of full-time experience in the administration of an education program during the five-year period immediately before the date of application, or a degree in education plus two years experience during the immediately preceding five-year period in one of the regulated industries for which courses are being approved, or a minimum of five years experience within the previous six years in the regulated industry for which courses are held. A person applying for approval as a course coordinator must:~~

(1) be qualified or have experience in the applicable subject matter of courses offered by the education provider or have experience in the administration of an education program; and

(2) make available upon request such records and data required by the commissioner to administer the provisions and further the purposes of this chapter.

(b) Coordinator approval may not be transferred to an individual who has not already been approved as an additional coordinator for the applicable license type for the providership in question. An individual must be approved as a coordinator by the commissioner before acting on behalf of an approved education provider.

Sec. 7. Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 45.31, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

Subd. 3. **Responsibilities.** ~~A coordinator~~ An education provider is responsible for:

(1) assuring compliance with all laws and rules relating to educational offerings governed by the commissioner;

(2) assuring that students are provided with current and accurate information relating to the laws and rules governing their licensed activity;

(3) supervising and evaluating courses and instructors. Supervision includes assuring, especially when a course will be taught by more than one instructor, that all areas of the curriculum are addressed without redundancy and that continuity is present throughout the entire course;

(4) ensuring that instructors are qualified to teach the course offering;

(5) furnishing the commissioner, upon request, with copies of course and instructor evaluations and qualifications of instructors. Evaluations must be completed by students and coordinators;

(6) investigating complaints related to course offerings and instructors and forwarding a copy of the written complaints to the Department of Commerce;

(7) maintaining accurate records relating to course offerings, instructors, tests taken by students, and student attendance for a period of three years from the date on which the course was completed. These records must be made available to the commissioner upon request. In the event that an education provider ceases operation for any reason, the coordinator is responsible for maintaining the records or providing a custodian for the records acceptable to the commissioner. The coordinator must notify the commissioner of the name and address of that person. In order to be acceptable to the commissioner, custodians must agree to make copies of acknowledgments available to students at a reasonable fee. Under no circumstances will the commissioner act as custodian of the records;

(8) ensuring that the coordinator is available to instructors and students throughout course offerings and providing to the students and instructor the name of the coordinator and a telephone number at which the coordinator can be reached;

(9) attending workshops or instructional programs as reasonably required by the commissioner;

(10) providing course completion certificates within ten days of, but not before, completion of the entire course. Course completion certificates must be completed in their entirety. It is not necessary to provide a written course completion certificate if the course completion certificate has been electronically delivered to the department or its designated licensing contractor. A coordinator may require payment of the course tuition as a condition for receiving the course completion certificate;

(11) notifying the commissioner immediately of any change in an application for the course, coordinator, or instructor approval application; and

(12) in conjunction with the instructor, assuring and certifying attendance of students enrolled in courses.

Sec. 8. **REVISOR INSTRUCTION.**



(a) The revisor of statutes shall change the term "self-study course" with "on-demand course" wherever it appears in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 45. The revisor shall also make grammatical changes related to the change in term.

(a) The revisor of statutes shall change the term "classroom course" with "live course" wherever it appears in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 45. The revisor shall also make grammatical changes related to the change in term.

Sec. 9. **REPEALER.**

Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 45.25, subdivisions 2a and 14, are repealed."

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

S.F. No. 3288 was read the third time, as amended, and placed on its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill, as amended.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 65 and nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	López Franzen	Putnam
Anderson	Draheim	Isaacson	Marty	Rarick
Bakk	Duckworth	Jasinski	Mathews	Rest
Benson	Dziedzic	Johnson	McEwen	Rosen
Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	Miller	Ruud
Carlson	Eichorn	Kent	Murphy	Senjem
Chamberlain	Eken	Kiffmeyer	Nelson	Tomassoni
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Newman	Torres Ray
Clausen	Goggin	Koran	Newton	Utke
Coleman	Hawj	Kunesh	Osmeck	Weber
Cwodzinski	Hoffman	Lang	Pappas	Westrom
Dahms	Housley	Latz	Port	Wiger
Dibble	Howe	Limmer	Pratt	Wiklund

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Eichorn cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Abeler, Anderson, Benson, Coleman, Dornink, Duckworth, Goggin, Howe, Ingebrigtsen, Jasinski, Johnson, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Newman, Pratt, Rarick, Senjem, Tomassoni, Utke, Weber, and Westrom.

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Dziedzic, Eaton, Eken, Fateh, Hoffman, Latz, McEwen, and Newton.

So the bill, as amended, was passed and its title was agreed to.

**SPECIAL ORDER**

**H.F. No. 2353:** A bill for an act relating to data practices; classifying education support service data; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 13.

Senator Mathews moved to amend H.F. No. 2353 as follows:

Page 1, after line 4, insert:

"Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 13.32, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. **Definitions.** As used in this section:

(a) "Educational data" means data on individuals maintained by a public educational agency or institution or by a person acting for the agency or institution which relates to a student.

Records of instructional personnel which are in the sole possession of the maker thereof and are not accessible or revealed to any other individual except a substitute teacher, and are destroyed at the end of the school year, shall not be deemed to be government data.

Records of a law enforcement unit of a public educational agency or institution which are maintained apart from education data and are maintained solely for law enforcement purposes, and are not disclosed to individuals other than law enforcement officials of the jurisdiction are not educational data; provided, that education records maintained by the educational agency or institution are not disclosed to the personnel of the law enforcement unit. The University of Minnesota police department is a law enforcement agency for purposes of section 13.82 and other sections of Minnesota Statutes dealing with law enforcement records. Records of organizations providing security services to a public educational agency or institution must be administered consistent with section 13.861.

Records relating to a student who is employed by a public educational agency or institution which are made and maintained in the normal course of business, relate exclusively to the individual in that individual's capacity as an employee, and are not available for use for any other purpose are classified pursuant to section 13.43.

(b) "Juvenile justice system" includes criminal justice agencies and the judiciary when involved in juvenile justice activities.

(c) "Parent" means a parent of a student and includes a natural parent, a guardian, or an individual acting as a parent in the absence of a parent or a guardian.

(d) "School-issued device" means hardware or software that a public educational agency or institution, acting independently or with a technology provider, provides to an individual student for that student's dedicated personal use. A school-issued device includes a device issued through a one-to-one program.

~~(e)~~ (e) "Student" means an individual currently or formerly enrolled or registered, applicants for enrollment or registration at a public educational agency or institution, or individuals who receive shared time educational services from a public agency or institution.

~~(f)~~ (f) "Substitute teacher" means an individual who performs on a temporary basis the duties of the individual who made the record, but does not include an individual who permanently succeeds to the position of the maker of the record.

(g) "Technology provider" means a person who:

(1) contracts with a public educational agency or institution, as part of a one-to-one program or otherwise, to provide a school-issued device for student use; and

(2) creates, receives, or maintains educational data pursuant or incidental to a contract with a public educational agency or institution.

**EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective for the 2022-2023 school year and later.

Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 13.32, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:

Subd. 13. **Technology providers.** (a) A technology provider is subject to the provisions of section 13.05, subdivision 11.

(b) All educational data created, received, maintained, or disseminated by a technology provider pursuant or incidental to a contract with a public educational agency or institution are not the technology provider's property.

(c) If educational data maintained by the technology provider are subject to a breach of the security of the data, as defined in section 13.055, the technology provider must, following discovery of the breach, disclose to the public educational agency or institution all information necessary to fulfill the requirements of section 13.055.

(d) Unless renewal of the contract is reasonably anticipated, within 90 days of the expiration of the contract, a technology provider must destroy or return to the appropriate public educational agency or institution all educational data created, received, or maintained pursuant or incidental to the contract.

(e) A technology provider must not sell, share, or disseminate educational data, except as provided by this section or as part of a valid delegation or assignment of its contract with a public educational agency or institution. An assignee or delegee that creates, receives, or maintains educational data is subject to the same restrictions and obligations under this section as the technology provider.

(f) A technology provider must not use educational data for any commercial purpose, including but not limited to marketing or advertising to a student or parent. For purposes of this paragraph, a commercial purpose does not include providing the specific services contracted for by a public educational agency or institution. Nothing in this subdivision prohibits the operator's use of deidentified, aggregate information for improving, maintaining, developing, supporting, or diagnosing the operator's site, service, or operation.

(g) A contract between a technology provider and a public educational agency or institution must include requirements to ensure appropriate security safeguards for educational data. The contract must require that:

(1) the technology provider's employees or contractors have access to educational data only if authorized; and

(2) the technology provider's employees or contractors may be authorized to access educational data only if access is necessary to fulfill the official duties of the employee or contractor.

(h) Within 30 days of the start of each school year, a public educational agency or institution must give parents and students direct, timely notice, by United States mail, e-mail, or other direct

form of communication, of any curriculum, testing, or assessment technology provider contract affecting a student's educational data. The notice must:

(1) identify each curriculum, testing, or assessment technology provider with access to educational data;

(2) identify the educational data affected by the curriculum, testing, or assessment technology provider contract; and

(3) include information about the contract inspection and provide contact information for a school department to which a parent or student may direct questions or concerns regarding any program or activity that allows a curriculum, testing, or assessment technology provider to access a student's educational data.

(i) A public educational agency or institution must provide parents and students an opportunity to inspect a complete copy of any contract with a technology provider.

**EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective for the 2022-2023 school year and later.

Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 13.32, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:

Subd. 14. **School-issued devices.** (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a government entity or technology provider must not electronically access or monitor:

(1) any location-tracking feature of a school-issued device;

(2) any audio or visual receiving, transmitting, or recording feature of a school-issued device;  
or

(3) student interactions with a school-issued device, including but not limited to keystrokes and web-browsing activity.

(b) A government entity or technology provider may only engage in activities prohibited by paragraph (a) if:

(1) the activity is limited to a noncommercial educational purpose for instruction, technical support, or exam-proctoring by district employees, student teachers, staff contracted by a district, a vendor, or the Department of Education, and notice is provided in advance;

(2) the activity is permitted under a judicial warrant;

(3) the public educational agency or institution is notified or becomes aware that the device is missing or stolen;

(4) the activity is necessary to respond to an imminent threat to life or safety and the access is limited to that purpose;

(5) the activity is necessary to comply with federal or state law, including but not limited to section 121A.031; or

(6) the activity is necessary to participate in federal or state funding programs, including but not limited to the E-Rate program.

(c) If a government entity or technology provider interacts with a school-issued device as provided in paragraph (b), clause (4), it must, within 72 hours of the access, notify the student to whom the school-issued device was issued or that student's parent and provide a written description of the interaction, including which features of the device were accessed and a description of the threat. This notice is not required at any time when the notice itself would pose an imminent threat to life or safety, but must instead be given within 72 hours after that imminent threat has ceased.

**EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective for the 2022-2023 school year and later.

Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2020, section 13.32, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:

**Subd. 15. Application to postsecondary institutions; exemption.** (a) A postsecondary institution is exempt from subdivisions 13 and 14. This exemption extends to a technology provider for purposes of a contract with a postsecondary institution.

(b) Subdivisions 13 and 14 shall not apply to a nonprofit national assessment provider solely for purposes of providing access to employment, educational scholarships and programs, financial aid, or postsecondary educational opportunities, if the provider secures express digital or written consent of the student or the student's parent or guardian, in response to clear and conspicuous notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective for the 2022-2023 school year and later."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed. So the amendment was adopted.

H.F. No. 2353 was read the third time, as amended, and placed on its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill, as amended.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 64 and nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	López Franzen	Rarick
Anderson	Draheim	Isaacson	Marty	Rest
Bakk	Duckworth	Jasinski	Mathews	Rosen
Benson	Dziedzic	Johnson	Miller	Ruud
Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	Murphy	Senjem
Carlson	Eichorn	Kent	Nelson	Tomassoni
Chamberlain	Eken	Kiffmeyer	Newman	Torres Ray
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Newton	Utke
Clausen	Goggin	Koran	Osmek	Weber
Coleman	Hawj	Kunesh	Pappas	Westrom
Cwodzinski	Hoffman	Lang	Port	Wiger
Dahms	Housley	Latz	Pratt	Wiklund
Dibble	Howe	Limmer	Putnam	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Eichorn cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Abeler, Anderson, Benson, Coleman, Dahms, Goggin, Howe, Ingebrigtsen, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Newman, Pratt, Rarick, Senjem, Tomassoni, Utke, and Westrom.

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Eaton, Eken, Fateh, Hoffman, Latz, and Newton.

So the bill, as amended, was passed and its title was agreed to.

### SPECIAL ORDER

**H.F. No. 2945:** A bill for an act relating to data practices; modifying criminal history checks done by cities and counties; amending Minnesota Statutes 2021 Supplement, section 299C.72, subdivision 2.

H.F. No. 2945 was read the third time and placed on its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 64 and nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	López Franzen	Putnam
Anderson	Draheim	Isaacson	Marty	Rest
Bakk	Duckworth	Jasinski	Mathews	Rosen
Benson	Dziedzic	Johnson	McEwen	Ruud
Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	Miller	Senjem
Carlson	Eichorn	Kent	Murphy	Tomassoni
Chamberlain	Eken	Kiffmeyer	Nelson	Torres Ray
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Newman	Utke
Clausen	Goggin	Koran	Newton	Weber
Coleman	Hawj	Kunesh	Osmek	Westrom
Cwodzinski	Hoffman	Lang	Pappas	Wiger
Dahms	Housley	Latz	Port	Wiklund
Dibble	Howe	Limmer	Pratt	

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Jasinski cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Abeler, Anderson, Benson, Coleman, Dahms, Goggin, Howe, Ingebrigtsen, Johnson, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Newman, Pratt, Senjem, Tomassoni, Utke, and Westrom.

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Eaton, Eken, Fateh, Hoffman, Latz, McEwen, and Newton.

So the bill passed and its title was agreed to.

### SPECIAL ORDER

**H.F. No. 3834:** A bill for an act relating to local government; clarifying the statutory authority that applies to the Bloomington Housing and Redevelopment Authority; amending Laws 1971, chapter 616, sections 1, as amended; 2, as amended.

H.F. No. 3834 was read the third time and placed on its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill.

The roll was called, and there were yeas 65 and nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dornink	Ingebrigtsen	López Franzen	Putnam
Anderson	Draheim	Isaacson	Marty	Rarick
Bakk	Duckworth	Jasinski	Mathews	Rest
Benson	Dziedzic	Johnson	McEwen	Rosen
Bigham	Eaton	Johnson Stewart	Miller	Ruud
Carlson	Eichorn	Kent	Murphy	Senjem
Chamberlain	Eken	Kiffmeyer	Nelson	Tomassoni
Champion	Fateh	Klein	Newman	Torres Ray
Clausen	Goggin	Koran	Newton	Utke
Coleman	Hawj	Kunesh	Osmek	Weber
Cwodzinski	Hoffman	Lang	Pappas	Westrom
Dahms	Housley	Latz	Port	Wiger
Dibble	Howe	Limmer	Pratt	Wiklund

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Jasinski cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Abeler, Anderson, Benson, Coleman, Dahms, Duckworth, Goggin, Howe, Ingebrigtsen, Kiffmeyer, Lang, Newman, Pratt, Rarick, Senjem, Tomassoni, Utke, and Weber.

Pursuant to Rule 40, Senator Kunesh cast the affirmative vote on behalf of the following Senators: Eaton, Eken, Fateh, Hoffman, Latz, López Franzen, McEwen, Newton, and Pappas.

So the bill passed and its title was agreed to.

### **MEMBERS EXCUSED**

Senator Gazelka was excused from the Session of today. Senator Tomassoni was excused from the Session of today from 12:00 noon to 12:50 p.m.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

Senator Miller moved that the Senate do now adjourn until 11:00 a.m., Monday, May 16, 2022. The motion prevailed.

Cal R. Ludeman, Secretary of the Senate

