

STATE OF MINNESOTA
SPECIAL REDISTRICTING PANEL
CO-01-160

Susan M. Zachman, Maryland Lucky R. Rosenbloom,
Victor L.M. Gomez, Gregory G. Edeen, Jeffrey E.
Karlson, Diane V. Bratlie, Brian J. LeClair and
Gregory Ravenhorst, individually and on behalf of
all citizens and voting residents of Minnesota
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

and

Patricia Cotlow, Thomas L. Weisbecker, Theresa
Silka, Geri Boice, William English, Benjamin
Gross, Thomas R. Dietz, John Raplinger,
individually and on behalf of all citizens and
voting residents of Minnesota similarly situated,

Plaintiffs-Intervenors,

and

Jesse Ventura,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

and

Roger D. Moe, Thomas W. Pugh, Betty McCollum,
Martin Olav Sabo, Bill Luther, Collin C. Peterson
and James L. Oberstar,

Plaintiffs-Intervenors,

v.

Mary Kiffmeyer, Secretary of State of Minnesota,
and Doug Gruber, Wright County Auditor,
individually and on behalf of all Minnesota county
chief election officers,

Defendants.

**AFFIDAVIT OF JOSEPH
MANSKY IN SUPPORT
OF THE MEMORANDUM
OF JUSTIFICATION
FOR PLAINTIFF-
INTERVENOR JESSE
VENTURA'S PROPOSED
REDISTRICTING PLAN**

STATE OF MINNESOTA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)

Joseph Mansky, being first duly sworn on oath, and based on personal knowledge of matters herein described, deposes and states as follows:

1. I am the Manager of the Governor's Citizen Advisory Commission on Redistricting. This affidavit is submitted in support of Plaintiff-Intervenor Ventura's Supporting Justification For His Redistricting Plan.

2. Included with the maps submitted by Plaintiff-Intervenor Ventura to the Panel is a map created at my direction which shows Governor Ventura's proposed congressional districts overlaid on a Minnesota land-use map.

3. The Governor's Citizen Advisory Commission on Redistricting participated in statewide hearings designed to give citizens an opportunity to comment on the proposed redistricting plans. I attended the hearings in Minneapolis, St. Paul, Rochester, St. Cloud, and Windom. Changes were made to Governor Ventura's plans based on the testimony taken at these hearings regarding communities of interest.

4. At a hearing held on September 13, 2001 in Rochester, the Mayor of Rochester testified that the interests of Rochester's urban core were not being represented by the current districts, which included more rural outlying communities. In light of this testimony, two core urban house districts and one core urban senate district were created in the Rochester area.

5. At a hearing held on August 28, 2001 in St. Cloud, local residents testified that the city's interests were not being represented as a result of the former districts' structure where the elected official has no connection to the city. As in the Rochester area, two core urban house districts and one core urban senate district were formed in response to this testimony.

6. At a hearing held on October 2, 2001 in Windom, a local attorney who works

with the MNSCU system testified that communities in that part of the state orient themselves from East to West, particularly in the delivery of government services and transportation. Consequently, districts in that area were created horizontally and stacked.

FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Joseph Mansky
Joseph Mansky

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 28th day of December, 2001.

Notary Public

Linda Marie Oelker

